```
DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT
   Department of Industrial Relations
   State of California
   BY:
        MILES E. LOCKER, No. 103510
   45 Fremont Street, Suite 3220
   San Francisco, CA 94105
   Telephone:
               (415) 975-2060
5
   Attorney for the Labor Commissioner
6
7
                      BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER
8
                        OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9
   AMERICAN FIRST RUN dba AMERICAN
                                             No. TAC 32-95
   FIRST RUN STUDIOS, MAX KELLER,
11
   MICHELINE KELLER,
                                             DETERMINATION OF
12
                         Petitioners,
                                             CONTROVERSY
13 l
   vs.
   OMNI ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, a
   corporation; SHERYL HARDY,
15
   STEVEN MAIER,
16
                        Respondents.
17
```

The above-captioned controversy came on for a hearing on specified issues¹ on July 16, 1996 before the undersigned attorney, specially designated by the Labor Commissioner to hear this matter. Petitioners were represented by Robert G. Leff and Laura Tunberg; Respondents were represented by James Curry. Based upon the evidence and testimony presented, the Labor Commissioner

2425

26

27

28

23 l

18

19

20

21

The issues to be considered at this hearing were limited to whether petitioners are "artists", and whether respondents, in connection with the services they provided to petitioners, functioned as a "talent agency" within the meaning of Labor Code \$1700.4. Despite the limited scope of this hearing, the resolution of these two foundational issues permits the Labor Commissioner to fully determine all issues raised by this petition to determine controversy.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. In 1986 or 1987, Max Keller, a film and television series producer, developed the idea of a television series based on the story of Tarzan. Max Keller, along with his wife Micheline Keller, negotiated with the estate of Edgar Rise Burroughs, the owner of the rights to Tarzan, and obtained a license from the estate to produce a series. Max and Micheline Keller then assigned those rights to AMERICAN FIRST RUN dba AMERICAN FIRST RUN STUDIOS ("AFRS"), a production company owned by the Kellers.
- 2. The Kellers/AFRS needed outside capital in order to begin producing the Tarzan series. In order to help secure the necessary financing, on December 12, 1989, the Kellers/AFRS entered into a written agreement with Sheryl Hardy, the president of Omni Entertainment Group, Inc. ("OMNI"), under which OMNI was appointed, for two-month period, as agent for AFRS to locate European co-producers to invest in the production of the television series. Under this agreement, OMNI was to receive 5% of all revenues received by AFRS as a result of OMNI's efforts.
- 3. This agreement was amended by a subsequent written agreement, executed on February 15, 1990. Under this amended agreement, Sheryl Hardy and Steve Maier, rather than OMNI, were designated to serve as the agent for the Kellers/AFRS, and the term of the agreement was extended for another three months. The geographic scope of the agent's representation was amended to the entire "foreign marketplace" and the terms of compensation were modified as follows: "In lieu of a 5% commission in the 12/12/89 agreement, Agent shall receive 15% of AFRS' gross receipts

commission derived from licensing the foreign television and video series rights to a third party brought to AFRS by agent only if AFRS receives a minimum net of \$300,000 per episode . . . for the foreign series rights exclusive of agent's commission and foreign taxes and withholdings. . ." The duties of Hardy and Maier under this amendment were no different than those of OMNI under the initial agreement - - that is, to find investors willing to provide the Kellers/AFRS with the needed funds to produce the Tarzan television series. In return for their investment in the production, foreign co-producers were to obtain foreign television and video licensing rights, with the Kellers/AFRS retaining domestic television and video licensing rights.

- 4. The Kellers, in their capacity as producers of television films and series, have been responsible for coordinating, supervising and controlling a wide range of creative functions, including the approval of final scripts, the approval of set design and locations, the approval of props and wardrobe, the approval of the filming schedule, the ordering of retakes and additional scenes, the viewing and approval of dailies, the director's cut, and the final cut, to name but a few of these functions. The Kellers/AFRS intended to exercise supervision and control over these creative functions with respect to the making of the Tarzan television series.
- 5. Neither OMNI nor Hardy nor Maier have ever been licensed as talent agents by the State Labor Commissioner.
- 6. Petitioners failed to present any evidence that either OMNI, Hardy or Maier ever procured, or attempted to procure, or offered to procure, or promised to procure, any employment for the

32-95.DET 3

Kellers/AFRS. Rather, the evidence presented established that respondents' sole function, pursuant to the parties' agreements, was to attempt to obtain funding from co-producers to finance petitioners' independent production of the Tarzan television series.

7. Hardy and Maier have filed a lawsuit against the Kellers/AFRS seeking damages for breach of the parties' written agreement, along with various other causes of action. In response, the Kellers/AFRS filed the instant petition to determine controversy with the Labor Commissioner, pursuant to Labor Code \$1700.44, seeking a determination that OMNI, Hardy and Maier violated Labor Code \$1700.5 by having functioned as talent agents without having been licensed, and as a consequence of this alleged violation of the Talent Agencies Act, that the parties' agreements are void ab initio and that respondents have no rights thereunder.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Labor Code §1700.5 provides that "no person shall engage in or carry on the occupation of a talent agency without first procuring a license therefor from the Labor Commissioner". The term "talent agency" is defined at Labor Code §1700.04(a) as: "a person or corporation who engages in the occupation of procuring, offering, promising, or attempting to procure employment or engagements for an artist or artists. . . . " The term "artists" is defined at Labor Code §1700.04(b) to include "persons rendering professional services in motion picture, theatrical, radio, television and other entertainment enterprises." The term "professional services", as used in section 1700.04(b), has been interpreted by the Labor Commissioner as limited to services that

10 II

11 |

22 l

26 l

are of a creative or artistic nature.

1

2

3

7 I

10

11

12 l

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 l

25

26

28

Although the evidence establishes that petitioners are "artists" within the meaning of Labor Code §1700.04(b), the utter lack of evidence that respondents engaged in any acts of "procuring, offering, promising, or attempting to procure employment or engagements" for petitioners compels the conclusion that neither OMNI, nor Hardy, nor Maier, are talent agents within the meaning of section 1700.04(a). A person acts as a talent agent, and therefore must be licensed under the Talent Agencies Act, if that person engages in any act of procuring employment for an artist. Waisbren v. Peppercorn Productions (1995) 41 Cal.App.4th 246. "Procuring employment" means obtaining employment for the artist from an employer of the artist's services. But here, the artists were the self-employed owners of 14 an independent production company who retained the services of 15 | respondents in order to help them obtain co-producers willing to invest funds to enable the Kellers/AFRS to independently produce a television series. Respondents' efforts at fund raising cannot, by any stretch of the imagination, be construed as the "procure[ment] of employment or engagements" within the meaning of the Act. Petitioners' bizarre view that this sort of fund raising activity on behalf of an independent production company is subject to regulation under the Talent Agencies Act is unsupported by any legislative history or judicial interpretation of the Act. Petitioners' theory of this case would require the licensing of all individuals who are engaged in raising funds for entertainment productions, and would dramatically expand the role of the Labor Commissioner into the arbiter of all business disputes that might

1	arise in the course of financing entertainment dears. These far
2	reaching consequences go beyond any plausible reading of the Act.
3	3. Respondents did not violate Labor Code §1700.05, in that
4	their activities on behalf of the Kellers/AFRS did not subject
5	them to the licensing requirements of the Talent Agencies Act.
6	Consequently, there are no grounds under the Talent Agencies Act
7	to declare the parties' agreements void or unenforceable.
8	DATED: $8/29/96$
9	Mint Colle
10	MILES E. LOCKER Attorney for the Labor Commissioner
11	
12	
13	
14	The above Determination is adopted by the Labor Commissioner
15	in its entirety.
16	DATED: 8/30/96
17	Roberta E. Merdonca
18	ROBERTA E. MENDONCA
19	STATE LABOR COMMISSIONER
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

These far

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS - DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL (C.C.P. §1013a)

(AMERICAN FIRST RUN, et al. v. OMNI ENTERTAINMENT GROUP et al.) (TAC 32-95)

I, MARY ANN E. GALAPON, do hereby certify that I am employed in the county of San Francisco, over 18 years of age, not a party. to the within action, and that I am employed at and my business address is 45 Fremont St., Suite 3220, San Francisco, CA 94105.

On September 5, 1996, I served the following document:

DETERMINATION OF CONTROVERSY; APPEAL RIGHTS UNDER

LABOR CODE \$1700.44

by placing a true copy thereof in envelope addressed as follows:

ROBERT G. LEFF, ESQ. 14225 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 204 Sherman Oaks, CA 91420-2758

JAMES E. CURRY, ESQ.
Christensen, White, Miller, Fink,
Jacobs, Glaser & Shapiro
2121 Avenue of the Stars, 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067-5010

and then sealing the envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, depositing it in the United States mail in the city and county of San Francisco by certified mail.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 5, 1996, at San Francisco, California.

MARY ANN E. GALAPON